

Quantum Yang Mills

2023年5月1日 14:58

1. Recall: How did we quantize electric field?

In QED we know, Lagrangian for massless spin-1 is $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{J}^\mu A_\mu$, so space-time EoM is: $(\square + m^2)A_\nu = J_\nu$ ($m=0$). Fourier trans to momentum space we get: $(k_{\mu\nu}^2 - k_\mu k_\nu)A^\nu = J^\nu$.

While $\det(k_{\mu\nu}^2 - k_\mu k_\nu) = 0$, directly inverting this matrix is dangerous. Actually, it manifests gauge invariance: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$. Thus, many different fields, whose differences are just gauge terms $\partial_\mu \alpha(x)$, associate with the same current $J^\mu(x)$.

Recall that $\partial_\mu A^\mu \rightarrow \partial_\mu A^\mu + i\zeta \alpha$. we try to find $\alpha: i\zeta \alpha = \partial_\mu A^\mu$, express $d(x)$ as: $\alpha(x) = \square^{-1}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)$.

let $f(s) = \int Dx e^{-i\int dx \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2}$ is a function of s , shift the field by: $\pi(x) \rightarrow \pi(x) - \alpha(x) = \pi(x) - \square^{-1}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)$.

$\rightarrow f(s) = \int_D x e^{-i\int dx \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2}$, because the integral keeps under a shift (something subtle, why local shifts keep integral unchanged?).

Correlation function: $\langle \Omega | T f(0, \dots, x_n) \rangle | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{Z[0]} \int D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx L[A, \phi]} | \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$, just require $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to be gauge invariant.

$$= \frac{1}{Z[0] f(s)} \int Dx D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]} | \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$$

now we set $\pi(x)$ as the phase factor in gauge theory: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \pi(x)$, $\phi_i \rightarrow e^{i\zeta \alpha} \phi_i$, since $D_\mu D_\nu O(x_1, \dots, x_n, L[A, \phi])$ are gauge invariant. we know the correlation function must equal to that when $\partial_\mu \alpha(x) = 0$ (gauge fixed).

$$\Rightarrow \langle \Omega | T f(0, \dots, x_n) \rangle | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{Z[0]} \left(\frac{1}{f(s)} \int Dx \right) \int D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]} | \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle$$

It's easy to check: $Z[0] = \left(\frac{1}{f(s)} \int Dx \right) \int D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]}$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \Omega | T f(0, \dots, x_n) \rangle | \Omega \rangle = \frac{\int D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]} | \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle}{\int D A_\mu D \phi_i D \phi_i^* e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]}}$$

Thus, a gauge-fixed lagrangian seems no different from a gauge-invariant lagrangian. So correlation function of fields must be free of ζ .

But this is only valid for gauge invariant correlation functions. $\langle \Omega | A_\mu(x) A_\nu(y) | \Omega \rangle$, or $\langle \Omega | \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(y) | \Omega \rangle$, e.g. will depend on ζ . However, since S-matrix is gauge invariant, we can cancel all ζ terms in perturbation theory.

2. Faddeev-Popov procedure

For non-Abelian theory, we can try to apply the same method in QED. now we need $N-1$ fields $\pi(x)$, implying $\pi^a(x)$ in the adjoint repr.

In YM, we checked for A_μ^a , changes: $A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \pi^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \pi^c$

in adjoint repr. $D_\mu \pi^a = \partial_\mu \pi^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \pi^c$. so $A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \pi^a$. (actually, $D_\mu \pi^a$ should be $D_\mu^a \pi^a = \partial_\mu \pi^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \pi^c$, $D_\mu \pi^a$ is simplicity).

We can similarly call $f(A, \zeta) = \int Dx \exp[-i \int dx \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]$ and gauge: $\partial_\mu A^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu D_\mu \alpha[A]$ has a solution.

Similarly shift: $\Rightarrow f(A, \zeta) = \int Dx \exp[-i \int dx \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2 - 2^* D_\mu \alpha^2]$ why in non-Abelian gauge theory,
 $\pi^a \rightarrow \pi^a - \frac{1}{2} \partial^a D_\mu \alpha$. ζ must be functional of A ?

Fix the gauge and cancel π -terms: $\int D A_\mu D \phi_i e^{i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]} = (\int Dx) \int D A_\mu D \phi_i \frac{1}{f(A)} \exp[i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]]$
 Δ for $f(A)$, we cannot get it out of $\int D A_\mu$.

Here we consider the origin form of $f(A)$: $f(A) = \int Dx \exp[-i \int dx \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]$.
 $= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\det(\partial^\mu D_\mu)^2}} \times \text{const.}$ (Gauss Integral for quadratic).

so that $Z[0] = \text{const.} \times \int D A_\mu D \phi_i [\det(\partial^\mu D_\mu)] \exp[i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2]]$.

We've known for Grassmann number $c & \bar{c}$, $\det(c) = \int D\bar{c} Dc \exp[-i \int dx \bar{c} Dc]$ $\Rightarrow \det(\partial^\mu D_\mu) = \int D\bar{c} Dc \exp[i \int dx \bar{c} (-\partial^\mu D_\mu)c]$.
 $\Rightarrow Z[0] = \text{const.} \times \int D A_\mu D \phi_i D\bar{c} Dc \exp[i \int dx [L[A, \phi] - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu - i\zeta \alpha)^2 - c^a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a]]$.

c and \bar{c} are two anti-commuting Lorentz scalar called Faddeev-Popov ghosts and F-P anti-ghosts.
this violate spin-statistics theorem, so ghosts are unphysical.

for gauge boson field ϕ : Lagrangian is: $\mathcal{L}_{R-S} = -\frac{1}{4}(\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 + (D_\mu c^a)(D_\mu \bar{c}^a)$
gauge boson propagator is: $\frac{v_b}{p^\mu} = i \delta^{ab} \frac{-g^{ab} + (1-\zeta) p^\mu p^\nu}{p^\mu + i\varepsilon}$ very similar to photon propagator.
we can take $\zeta=1$ and cancel $p^\mu p^\nu$ term.
 $\underset{\zeta=1}{\sim} \frac{-ig^{ab}}{p^\mu + i\varepsilon} \delta^{ab}$.

Attention: c and \bar{c} are different Grassmann number, we never expected them to conjugate. They are entirely independent.

3. BRST invariance (a classical symmetry).

We've know that Feynman R- ζ gauge can cancel DoF. A question is, what's the difference between R- ζ gauge and Lorenz/Coulomb gauge?

In QED, we introduce ζ -term: $\frac{1}{2s}(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2$, this partly break the gauge symmetry: $A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$ cannot hold L invariant.
However, if we consider some $\alpha(x)$ that $\square \alpha(x) = 0$, then $\partial^\mu A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) + \square \alpha(x) = \partial^\mu A_\mu(x)$, there is still residual symmetry!

we can generally introduce a QED Lagrangian including ghosts: $\mathcal{L}_{QED} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \phi_i^*) (D^\mu \phi_i) - m^2 \phi_i^* \phi_i - \frac{1}{2s}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 - \bar{c} \square c$.

since EoM of \bar{c} and c are just: $\square \bar{c} = 0$ then we can take $\alpha(x) = \bar{c}(x)$, so that $d(x)$ is a valid gauge holding symmetry.
 $\square c = 0$.

Therefore, gauge trans writes as: $\begin{cases} \phi_i(x) \rightarrow e^{i\theta c(x)} \phi_i(x), \\ A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{e} \theta \partial_\mu c(x). \end{cases}$ θ should be a Grassmann number, so that $\alpha(x)$ be a normal number.

When talking about invariance and symmetry, we have to work under off-shell environment. EoM can just work intuitively, but never used to cancel something.

Without $\square c = 0$ (on-shell EoM), we have $(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 \rightarrow (\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \frac{2}{e} (\partial^\mu A_\mu) (\theta \square c) + \frac{1}{e^2} (\theta \square c)^2$. vanish for $\theta=0$.

without $\Box C = 0$ (on-shell EoM), we have $(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 \rightarrow (\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 + \frac{2}{e} (\partial^\mu A_\mu) (\theta \Box C) + \frac{1}{e^2} (\theta \Box C)^2$. vanish for $\theta^2=0$. work under off-shell environment, EoM can just work intuitively, but never used to cancel something.

to cancel this extra term, we just let $\bar{c}(x) \rightarrow \bar{c}(x) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\theta} \theta \cdot \partial^\mu A_\mu(x)$, then the Lagrangians keep invariant. This kind of trans is called BRST trans, and the residual symmetry is called BRST symmetry.

Now back to our Faddeev-Popov Lagrangian: $L_{FP} = L_Y + L_{scalars} - \frac{1}{2g} (\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2 + (\partial^\mu \bar{C}) (\partial_\mu C)$.

We still make $\phi(x) = \theta \bar{c}(x)$, then construct BRST trans: $\begin{cases} \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_i + i\theta c^a T_{ij}^\mu \phi_j \\ A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a + \frac{i}{g} \theta D_\mu c^a \end{cases}$ ~ $-\bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a$ under IBP.

$$(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 \rightarrow (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 + \frac{2}{g} \theta (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a) (\partial^\mu D_\mu c^a) \rightarrow \bar{c}^a \rightarrow \bar{c}^a - \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{g} \theta (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a).$$

A little difference is that, if we take $-\bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a$, then $D_\mu c$ is not invariant, thus $-\bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a \rightarrow -\bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a$, \bar{c} trans cannot completely cancel these redundant terms.

Now we need to change c^a , so that $D_\mu c^a \rightarrow D_\mu c^a$, explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu c^a &= (\partial_\mu c^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b) \rightarrow \partial_\mu c^a + g f^{abc} (A_\mu^b + g \partial_\mu D_\mu c^b) (c^f + \theta \Delta^f) \\ &= \partial_\mu c^a + \partial_\mu \theta \Delta^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \theta \Delta^c + \theta f^{abc} (c_\mu c^b) f^{bed} A_\mu^d c^e \\ &= \partial_\mu c^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b + \theta (\partial_\mu \Delta^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \Delta^c) + \theta f^{abc} \partial_\mu c^c + \frac{g \theta}{2} f^{abc} f^{bed} A_\mu^d c^e. \end{aligned}$$

we can read: $\Delta^a = -\frac{1}{2} \theta f^{abc} c^b c^c \Rightarrow c^a = c^a - \frac{1}{2} \theta f^{abc} c^b c^c$.

These 4 trans construct YM BRST trans, thus L_{FP} is BRST invariant.

BRST Cohomology:

we try to rewrite BRST trans as an operator $\delta_B: L \mapsto \Delta L$ and we get: $\begin{cases} \delta_B L_Y = 0 \\ \delta_B L_{scalars} = \delta_B L_{fermions} = 0 \\ \delta_B L_{gauge} = -\delta_B L_{ghosts} \end{cases}$ Thus $\delta_B L_{FP} = 0$.

for a general BRST trans, we expect to have: $U_B = \exp(i\theta Q_B)$. Q_B is the generator of BRST trans, called BRST operator. since θ is Grassmann. Q_B has to be fermion, and U_B boson. Expand these trans to θ' (for $\theta^2=0$):

$$\begin{aligned} U_B \phi U_B^{-1} &= \phi + i\theta [Q_B, \phi] = \phi + \delta_B \phi. \\ U_B \psi U_B^{-1} &= \psi + i\theta [Q_B, \psi] = \psi + \delta_B \psi. \end{aligned}$$

... That's under Dirac picture, we consider how operator trans.

An important property is that $Q_B^2=0$, for boson, fermion, and gauge field operator.

$Q_B \cdot Q_B = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Im } Q_B \subseteq \ker Q_B$, thus we construct $H(Q_B) = \ker Q_B / \text{Im } Q_B$, this is BRST cohomology.

Space of states $F = \bigoplus_g F_g$, g is a integer, thus $Q_B: F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$. Or explicitly: $Q_B(g) F_g \rightarrow F_{g+1}$. $\begin{cases} \ker Q_B = \{\psi \in F_3 \mid Q_B \psi = 0\} \\ \text{elements in } \ker Q_B \text{ are BRST cocycle} \\ \text{elements in } \text{Im } Q_B \text{ are BRST coboundary} \end{cases}$

$$\text{so } H^0(Q_B) = \frac{\ker Q_B}{\text{Im } Q_{B-1}} \quad H(Q_B) = \bigoplus_g H^g(Q_B). \text{ that's a natural sheaf structure. } g \text{ is the 'ghosts number'}$$

Physical states are always no ghosts, so $H^0(Q_B) = \frac{\ker Q_B}{\text{Im } Q_{B-1}}$ are space of gauge invariant quantities.

4. Feynman Rules for YM Theory:

Now we completely write down the $SU(N)$ invariant Lagrangian with non-Abelian gauge fields:

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2g} (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 + (\bar{c}^\mu \bar{c}^\nu - g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c) c^a + \bar{\psi}_i (i\bar{\gamma}^\mu - m) \psi_i + \bar{\psi}_i (i\bar{\gamma}^\mu - g A_\mu^a T_{ij}^a) \psi_j + [S_k \partial^\mu - ig A_\mu^a T_{ki}^a] \phi_i]^* [c_{kj} \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^a T_{kj}^a] \phi_j - M^2 \phi_i^* \phi_i. \\ &\text{non-Abelian fields} \quad R \rightarrow \text{gauge term} \quad \text{Faddeev-Popov ghosts} \quad \text{Fermion-gauge interaction including boson-gauge interaction} \\ F_{\mu\nu}^a &= \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \end{aligned}$$

Kinetic terms: $\mathcal{L}_{kin} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2g} (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 + \bar{\psi}_i (i\bar{\gamma}^\mu - m) \psi_i - \bar{\phi}_i (i\bar{\gamma}^\mu - M) \phi_i - \bar{c}^\mu \square c^\mu$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{propagator: } \frac{v.b}{p} = \frac{-ig^a}{p^2 + \omega^2} \delta^{ab} \quad (\# = 1), \quad \text{gauge boson propagator (e.g. gluons),}$$

$$\frac{b \dots \rightarrow \dots a}{p} = \frac{i \bar{s}^a}{p^2 + \omega^2} \quad \text{ghost propagators}$$

$$\frac{j \rightarrow i}{p} = \frac{i \bar{s}^i}{p^2 - m^2 + \omega^2} \quad \text{colored fermions propagators.}$$

$$\frac{j \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i}{p} = \frac{i \bar{s}^i}{p^2 - M^2 + \omega^2} \quad \text{colored bosons propagators}$$

Interaction terms: $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -g f^{abc} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a) A_\nu^b A_\mu^c - \frac{1}{2} g (f^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b) (f^{cde} A_\mu^e A_\nu^d) + g f^{abc} (\partial^\mu \bar{c}^\nu) A_\mu^b A_\nu^c + g A_\mu^a \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu T_{ij}^a \psi_j + ig A_\mu^a T_{ij}^a (\bar{\psi}_i \partial_\mu \phi_j - \phi_j \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_i) + g \bar{\phi}_i^* A_\mu^a T_{ik}^a T_{jk}^b A_\mu^b \phi_j$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Vertex: } \frac{v.b}{p} = g f^{abc} [g^{\mu\nu} (k-p)^\rho + g^{\mu\rho} (p-q)^\nu + g^{\rho\nu} (q-k)^\mu]. \quad p+k+q=0.$$

$$= -ig^a \int f^{abc} f^{ade} (g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho}) + f^{ace} f^{bde} (g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho}) + f^{ade} f^{bce} (g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} g^{\nu\rho})$$

$$= -g f^{abc} p^\mu$$

$$= ig(k^\mu + q^\mu) T_{ij}^a$$

$$= ig^a T_{ik}^a T_{jk}^b g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= ig \bar{\psi}_i T_{ij}^a$$